

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Statutory Authority: 14 Delaware Code, Section 122(b) (14 Del.C. §122(b))
14 DE Admin. Code 106

PROPOSED

Education Impact Analysis Pursuant To 14 Del.C. Section 122(D)

106 Teacher Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II)

A. TYPE OF REGULATORY ACTION REQUIRED

Amendment to Existing Regulation

B. SYNOPSIS OF SUBJECT MATTER OF THE REGULATION

The Secretary of Education seeks the consent of the State Board of Education to amend 14 DE Admin. Code 106 Teacher Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II). The amendments include an effective date and a cross reference to a new proposed regulation designed to replace this regulation with the 2011-2012 school year. Other technical amendments have been made.

Persons wishing to present their views regarding this matter may do so in writing by the close of business on or before January 5, 2010 to Susan Haberstroh, Education Associate, Regulation Review, Department of Education, at 401 Federal Street, Suite 2, Dover, Delaware 19901. A copy of this regulation is available from the above address or may be viewed at the Department of Education business office.

C. IMPACT CRITERIA

1. Will the amended regulation help improve student achievement as measured against state achievement standards? The amendments are intended to continue to help improve student achievement as measured against state achievement standards.

2. Will the amended regulation help ensure that all students receive an equitable education? The amendments to the regulation are intended to help ensure that all students receive an equitable education.

3. Will the amended regulation help to ensure that all students' health and safety are adequately protected? The amendments to the regulation do not specifically address the health and safety of students.

4. Will the amended regulation help to ensure that all students' legal rights are respected? The amendments to the regulation are intended to ensure all students' legal rights continue to be respected.

5. Will the amended regulation preserve the necessary authority and flexibility of decision making at the local board and school level? The amendments to the regulation preserve the necessary authority and flexibility of decision making at the local board and school level.

6. Will the amended regulation place unnecessary reporting or administrative requirements or mandates upon decision makers at the local board and school levels? The amendments to the regulation do not place unnecessary reporting or administrative requirements or mandates upon decision makers at the local board and school levels.

7. Will the decision making authority and accountability for addressing the subject to be regulated be placed in the same entity? The decision making authority and accountability for teacher evaluation does not change through the amendments.

8. Will the amended regulation be consistent with and not an impediment to the implementation of other state educational policies, in particular to state educational policies addressing achievement in the core academic subjects of mathematics, science, language arts and social studies? The amended regulation is consistent with other state educational policies, in particular the educational policies related to achievement in the core academic subjects.

9. Is there a less burdensome method for addressing the purpose of the regulation? There is not a less burdensome method for addressing the purpose of the regulation at this time.

10. What is the cost to the State and to the local school boards of compliance with the regulation? The amendments do not substantively change the process so no anticipated costs are expected.

106 Teacher Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II)

1.0 The Teacher Appraisal Process, Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II), shall be effective for the following school districts and charter schools beginning with the 2007-08 school year:

Appoquinimink
Caesar Rodney
Colonial
Lake Forest
Laurel
Sussex Technical
MOT Charter
Providence Creek Academy Charter
Sussex Academy of the Arts and Sciences

The Teacher Appraisal Process, Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II), shall be effective for all public school districts and charter schools beginning with the 2008-2009 school year.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

2.0 Definitions

The following definitions shall be apply for purposes of this regulation:

"Announced Observation" shall consist of the Pre-observation Form and conference with the evaluator, an observation by the evaluator at an agreed upon date and time, using the associated formative conferences and reports. The observation shall be of sufficient length, at least thirty (30) minutes, to analyze the lesson and assess teacher performance.

"Board" shall mean a local board of education or charter school board of directors.

"Credentialed Evaluator" shall mean the individual, usually the supervisor of the teacher, who has successfully completed the evaluation training in accordance with 10.0. The Credentialed Evaluator may also be referred to as "Evaluator".

"DASA" shall mean the Delaware Association of School Administrators.

"DPAS II Guide for Teachers" shall mean the manual that contains the prescribed forms, detailed procedures, specific details about the five (5) components of evaluation and other relevant documents that are used to implement the appraisal process.

"DSEA" shall mean the Delaware State Education Association.

"Experienced Teacher" shall mean a teacher who holds a valid and current Continuing or Advanced License, issued pursuant to Chapter 12 of Title 14 of the Delaware Code; or Standard or Professional Status Certificate issued prior to August 1, 2003.

"Improvement Plan" shall be the plan that a teacher and evaluator mutually develop in accordance with 8.0.

"Novice Teacher" shall mean a teacher who holds a valid and current Initial License, issued pursuant to Chapter 12 of Title 14 of the Delaware Code.

"Satisfactory Component Rating" shall mean the teacher's performance demonstrates an understanding of the concepts of the component, issued pursuant to Chapter 12 of Title 14 of the Delaware Code.

"Satisfactory Evaluation" shall be equivalent to the overall "Effective" or "Needs Improvement" rating on the Summative Evaluation and shall be used to qualify for a continuing license.

"State Assessment" shall mean the Delaware Student Testing Program (DSTP) or its successor.

"Summative Evaluation" shall be the final evaluation at the conclusion of the appraisal cycle.

"Unannounced Observation" shall consist of an observation by the evaluator at a date and time that has not been previously arranged using the associated formative conferences and reports. The

observation shall be of sufficient length, at least thirty (30) minutes, to analyze the lesson and assess teacher performance.

"Unsatisfactory Component Rating" shall mean the teacher's performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the concepts of the component.

"Unsatisfactory Evaluation" shall be the equivalent to the overall "Ineffective" rating on the Summative Evaluation.

"Working Day" shall mean a day when the employee would normally be working in that district or charter school.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

3.0 Appraisal Cycles

- 3.1 Experienced teachers who have earned a rating of "Effective" on his or her most recent Summative Evaluation shall receive a minimum of one (1) Announced Observation each year with a Summative Evaluation at least once every two (2) years.
- 3.2 Experienced teachers who have earned a rating of "Needs Improvement" or "Ineffective" on their most recent Summative Evaluation shall receive a minimum of one (1) Announced Observation and one (1) Unannounced Observation with a Summative Evaluation at the end of the one (1) year period. These teachers shall have an Improvement Plan which may require additional observations and other types of monitoring as outlined in the DPAS II Guide for Teachers.
- 3.3 Novice teachers shall receive a minimum of one (1) Announced Observation and one (1) Unannounced Observation with a Summative Evaluation at the end of the one year period. Novice teachers who have earned a rating of "Needs Improvement" or "Ineffective" on their most recent Summative Evaluation shall have an Improvement Plan which may require additional observations or other types of monitoring as outlined in the DPAS II Guide for Teachers.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

4.0 DPAS II Guide for Teachers

- 4.1 All school districts and charter schools shall use the manual entitled DPAS II Guide for Teachers as developed and as may be amended by the Department of Education in collaboration with DASA and DSEA to implement the appraisal system.
- 4.2 The manual shall contain, at a minimum, the following:
 - 4.2.1 Specific details about each of the five (5) components listed in 5.1.
 - 4.2.2 All forms or documents needed to complete the requirements of the appraisal process.
 - 4.2.3 Specific procedures to implement the appraisal system.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

5.0 Appraisal Components and Appraisal Criteria

- 5.1 The following five (5) Appraisal Components, including the four (4) Appraisal Criteria specified for each, shall be the basis upon which the performance of a teacher shall be evaluated by a credentialed evaluator:
 - 5.1.1 Planning and Preparation
 - 5.1.1.1 Selecting Instructional Goals: Teacher selects instructional goals that are aligned with the DE content standards and the district or charter school's curricula. Goals are appropriate for the learners and reflect high expectations for all students, consistent with State Assessment levels of performance where applicable.
 - 5.1.1.2 Designing Coherent Instruction: Teacher plans for learning activities that align with the instructional goals and support student learning. Instructional planning shows a structure and selection of materials and activities that support student learning relative to the district or charter school's curricula.
 - 5.1.1.3 Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy: Teacher shows his or her knowledge of content and how to teach it to a variety of learners. The teacher's plans

- include natural connections among content areas that deepen student learning. The content that he or she teaches is aligned to the district or charter school's curricula.
- 5.1.1.4 Demonstrating Knowledge of Students: Teacher shows his or her knowledge of student developmental characteristics; approaches to learning, knowledge, and skills; interests; cultural heritage; and, where applicable, State Assessment performance levels.
- 5.1.2 Classroom Environment
- 5.1.2.1 Managing Classroom Procedures: Teacher has clearly defined procedures for managing learning time, transitions between learning events, and routines that maximize learning time.
 - 5.1.2.2 Managing Student Behavior: Teacher establishes behavioral expectations and consequences and monitors student conduct. Teacher responds to student behavior in appropriate and effective ways to minimize disruptions.
 - 5.1.2.3 Creating an Environment to Support Learning: Teacher creates an atmosphere in which learning is valued. Teacher-to-student and student-to-student interactions show rapport that is grounded in mutual respect.
 - 5.1.2.4 Organizing Physical Space: Teacher organizes, allocates, and manages physical space to create a safe learning environment. Teacher uses physical resources to contribute to effective instruction and makes resources accessible to all students.
- 5.1.3 Instruction
- 5.1.3.1 Engaging Students in Learning: Content is appropriate, clear, and linked to student knowledge and experience. Content is aligned with the district or charter school's curricula. Activities and assignments engage all students. Instructional materials are suitable to the instructional goals. The instruction is coherent and paced appropriately for all students.
 - 5.1.3.2 Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness: Teacher has a repertoire of instructional strategies and makes use of them to make modifications to lessons as needed. Teacher differentiates instruction based on learner characteristics and achievement data.
 - 5.1.3.3 Communicating Clearly and Accurately: Verbal and written communication is clear and appropriate to students' ages, backgrounds, and levels of understanding.
 - 5.1.3.4 Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques: Questions are appropriate to the content and level of students' understanding. Teacher encourages students to pose their own questions and is responsive to student questions. Teacher facilitates student led discussions.
- 5.1.4 Professional Responsibilities
- 5.1.4.1 Communicating with Families: Teacher shares information about the school's educational program and expectations for student performance. Teacher develops a mechanism for two way communication with families about student progress, behavior, and personal needs or concerns.
 - 5.1.4.2 Developing a Student Record System: Teacher keeps records of attendance, disciplinary actions, emergency contact information, and personal information. Teacher shares relevant information with appropriate school personnel.
 - 5.1.4.3 Growing and Developing Professionally: Teacher chooses and participates in professional development that is aligned with his or her professional needs and aligned with the needs of the school, district or charter school, or students.
 - 5.1.4.4 Reflecting on Professional Practice: Teacher engages in reflective thinking as an individual, as a team participant, or as a school community member with the goal of improving instruction and learning for all students.
- 5.1.5 Student Improvement
- 5.1.5.1 Showing Student Improvement: Teacher uses school goals from the school improvement process to set his or her annual data driven goal(s) for student improvement. Data used to

establish goals shall include school accountability data, State Assessment data where available, and classroom based assessment data where available.

- 5.1.5.2 Aligning Assessments to Teacher Data Driven Goal(s): Teacher uses assessments and scoring criteria that accurately measure progress towards the student improvement goal(s).
- 5.1.5.3 Measuring Student Improvement: Teacher has specific, measurable evidence to show progress towards or attainment of goal(s) for student improvement.
- 5.1.5.4 Reflecting on Student Improvement: Teacher reflects on goal setting process and outcomes for the purpose of continuous professional improvement and shares student improvement information with other staff as appropriate.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

6.0 Summative Evaluation Ratings

- 6.1 Each of the five (5) components pursuant to 5.0 shall be weighted equally and assigned a rating of Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory on the Summative Evaluation.
 - 6.1.1 A satisfactory rating for each Appraisal Component shall mean the teacher demonstrates acceptable performance by meeting at least three (3) of the four (4) Appraisal Criteria specified in each of the five (5) components set forth in 5.1.
- 6.2 The Summative Evaluation shall also include one of three overall ratings: "Effective", "Needs Improvement", or "Ineffective".
 - 6.2.1 "Effective" shall mean that the teacher has received Satisfactory Component ratings in at least four (4) of the five (5) components in accordance with the Appraisal Criteria in 5.0.
 - 6.2.2 "Needs Improvement" shall mean that the teacher has received three (3) Satisfactory Component ratings out of the five (5) components in accordance with the Appraisal Criteria in 5.0.
 - 6.2.3 "Ineffective" shall mean that the teacher has received two (2) or fewer Satisfactory Component ratings out of the five (5) components in accordance with the Appraisal Criteria in 5.0.
 - 6.2.3.1 If the teacher's overall Summative Evaluation rating is determined to be "Needs Improvement" for the third consecutive year, the rating shall be re-categorized as "Ineffective".

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

7.0 Pattern of Ineffective Teaching Defined

A pattern of ineffective teaching shall be based on the most recent Summative Evaluation ratings of a teacher using the DPAS II process. Two consecutive ratings of "Ineffective" shall be deemed as a pattern of ineffective teaching. The following chart shows the consecutive Summative Evaluation ratings that shall be determined to be a pattern of ineffective teaching:

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Ineffective	Ineffective	
Needs Improvement	Ineffective	Needs Improvement
Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	Ineffective
Ineffective	Needs Improvement	Ineffective
Ineffective	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement
Needs Improvement	Ineffective	Ineffective

8.0 Improvement Plan

- 8.1 An Improvement Plan shall be developed for a teacher who receives an overall rating of "Needs Improvement" or "Ineffective" on the Summative Evaluation or a rating of Unsatisfactory on any Appraisal Component in 5.0 on the Summative Evaluation regardless of the overall rating.

- 8.1.1 An Improvement Plan shall also be developed if a teacher's overall performance during an observed lesson is unsatisfactory. This unsatisfactory performance shall be noted by the evaluator on the Formative Feedback form by noting "PERFORMANCE IS UNSATISFACTORY" and initialing the statement.
- 8.2 The Improvement Plan shall contain the following:
 - 8.2.1 Identification of the specific deficiencies and recommended area(s) for growth;
 - 8.2.2 Measurable goals for improving the deficiencies to satisfactory levels;
 - 8.2.3 Specific professional development or activities to accomplish the goals;
 - 8.2.4 Specific resources necessary to implement the plan, including but not limited to, opportunities for the teacher to work with curriculum specialist(s), subject area specialist(s), instructional specialist(s) or others with relevant expertise;
 - 8.2.5 Procedures and evidence that must be collected to determine that the goals of the plan were met;
 - 8.2.6 Timeline for the plan, including intermediate check points to determine progress;
 - 8.2.7 Procedures for determining satisfactory improvement.
- 8.3 The Improvement Plan shall be developed cooperatively by the teacher and evaluator. If the plan cannot be cooperatively developed, the evaluator shall have the authority and responsibility to determine the plan as specified in 8.2 above.
- 8.4 The teacher shall be held accountable for the implementation and completion of the Improvement Plan.
- 8.5 Upon completion of the Improvement Plan, the teacher and evaluator shall sign the documentation that determines the satisfactory or unsatisfactory performance of the plan.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

9.0 Challenge Process

- 9.1 A teacher may challenge any rating on the Summative Evaluation, either a Component Rating or the Overall Rating, or a teacher may challenge the conclusions of a lesson observation if the statement "PERFORMANCE IS UNSATISFACTORY" has been included on the Formative Feedback form. To initiate a challenge, a teacher shall submit additional information specific to the point of disagreement in writing within fifteen (15) working days of the date of the teacher's receipt of the Summative Evaluation. Such written response shall become part of the appraisal record and shall be attached to the Summative Evaluation. All challenges together with the record shall be forwarded to the supervisor of the evaluator unless the supervisor of the evaluator is also in the same building as the teacher. In this situation, the challenge together with the record shall be forwarded to a designated district or charter school level credentialed evaluator.
 - 9.1.1 Within fifteen (15) working days of receiving the written challenge, the supervisor of the evaluator or the designated district or charter school level credentialed evaluator shall review the record which consists of all documents used in the appraisal process and the written challenge, and issue a written decision.
 - 9.1.2 If the challenge is denied, the written decision shall state the reasons for denial.
 - 9.1.3 The decision of the supervisor of the evaluator or the designated district or charter school's level credentialed evaluator shall be final.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

10.0 Evaluator Credentials

- 10.1 Evaluators shall have completed the DPAS II training as developed by the Department of Education. Evaluators shall receive a certificate of completion which is valid for five (5) years and is renewable upon completion of professional development focused on DPAS II as specified by the Department of Education.
- 10.2 The training for the certificate of completion shall include techniques of observation and conferencing, content and relationships of frameworks for teaching, and a thorough review of the DPAS II Guide for

Teachers. Activities in which participants practice implementation of DPAS II procedures shall be included in the training.

10.3 The credentialing process shall be conducted by the Department of Education.

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

11.0 Evaluation of Process

The Department of Education shall conduct an annual evaluation of the teacher appraisal process. The evaluation shall, at a minimum, include a survey of teachers and evaluators and interviews with a sampling of teachers and evaluators. Data from the evaluation and proposed changes to the *DPAS II Revised Guide for Teachers* shall be presented to the State Board of Education for review on an annual basis.

12.0 Effective Date

This regulation shall be in effect until the effective date of 14 DE Admin. Code 106a Teacher Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II) Revised.

8 DE Reg. 431 (9/1/04)

9 DE Reg. 522 (10/1/05)

11 DE Reg. 502 (10/01/07)

13 DE Reg. 725 (12/01/09) (Prop.)