MANE-VU Technical Support Committee 4/6/2016

Contents

Figures	i
Tables	i
Background and Introduction	2
Methods	2
Results	5
State Population Weighted Centroid Analysis (State Totals & Comparison to 2012 Analysis)	5
2011 Point Source Analysis	7
Projected 2018 Point Source Analysis	9
Conclusions	18
Appendix A - Inputs to the emissions over distance approach	19
Appendix B - Q/d in ARC Map Step by Step Instructions	21

Figures

Tables

Table 1. Top Five Contributing U.S. States for Total State SO ₂ Emissions over the Three Analyses5
Table 2. Comparison of State Emissions Contributions from 2007 Emissions and 2011 Emissions6
Table 3. Top Five Ranking Contributing States of Point Only and Population Weighted Centroid
Methodology7
Table 4. States with the Five Greatest Point Contributions in 2011 and Projected for 2018

Background and Introduction

The following analysis is a simplified method for estimating sulfate contributions to a receptor, known as the emissions over distance (Q/d) method. Q/d is largely accepted as a screening tool and continues to be as in the conclusion of a July 2015 report by an interagency air quality modeling work group.¹ NESCAUM previously employed this method in the *Contribution to Regional Haze in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States*² and the *Contributions to Regional Haze in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States*: Preliminary Update *Through 2007*³.

This assessment primarily uses the methodology as in these previous two studies, any variances from the method are noted in the methods section below. MANE-VU states discussed various options for determining the largest contributors for opening discussions and employing further analysis; including, but not limited to, further CALPUFF modeling. A review of contribution analyses conducted by MANE-VU, including the previous two NESCAUM Q/d studies (CALPUFF analyses and REMSTAD analysis^{2,3}) found similar results regardless of the method. It was decided the most cost effective tool for the first iteration of contribution analysis was the Q/d approach as the resource investment was less than the others and each method previously run provided similar ranking results.

Methods

The 2015 analysis was done using the ARC MAP [®] software with some custom visual basic scripts; scripts are noted in Appendix B. The intent of this approach was to provide a simple exercise that could be repeated with little effort as the project evolved; to better test new methods and investigate new sources of haze; all while providing the data and illustrative graphics in a single effort.

The empirical formula that relates emission source strength and estimated impact is expressed through the following equation:

$I = C_i (Q/d)$

In this equation, the strength of an emission source, Q, is linearly related to the impact, I, that it will have on a receptor located a distance, d, away. As in the previous analysis, distances were computed using the Haversine function, using an earth radius of 6371 km². The effect of meteorological prevailing winds can be factored into this approach by establishing the constant, C_i, as a function of the "wind direction sectors" relative to the receptor site.

By establishing a different constant for each wind direction sector, based on prior modeling results—in this case, CALPUFF results—are in effect "scaling" Q/d results by CALPUFF-calculated source impacts. The absolute impacts produced are then dependent on the CALPUFF results. The relative contributions, however, of each

¹ EPA, 2015. Interagency Work Group on Air Quality Modeling Phase 3 Summary Report: Near-Field Single Source Secondary Impacts. <u>http://www3.epa.gov/ttn/scram/11thmodconf/IWAQM3_NFI_Report-07152015.pdf</u>

² NESCAUM, 2006. *Contribution to Regional Haze in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States*. <u>http://www.nescaum.org/topics/regional-haze/regional-haze-documents</u>

³ NESCAUM, 2012. *Contributions to Regional Haze in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States: Preliminary Update through 2007*. <u>http://www.nescaum.org/topics/regional-haze/regional-haze-documents</u>

source within a wind direction sector is established completely independent of the CALPUFF calculation, yielding a quasi-independent method of apportionment to add to the weight-of-evidence approach.

Discussion occurred as to whether the wind direction sectors changed to such an extent that updating the data with more recent data was necessary. A consensus of MANE-VU states determined that on average the directions of prevailing winds had not changed and thereby it was still acceptable to utilize the CALPUFF derived constants in the NESCAUM, 2002 analysis. These constants can be noted in Appendix A. As was done in the NESCAUM 2012 analysis state total emissions were evaluated from a source location of a population weight state centroid. Again little change was expected between the locations of the 2012 and 2015 estimated population densities thus the analysis was repeated with the locations of the centroids used in the NESCAUM 2012 study, also noted in Appendix A.

The MANE-VU Class I areas with Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) monitors; Acadia, Brigantine, Great Gulf, Lye Brook & Moosehorn and several near-by Class I areas with IMPROVE monitors; Dolly Sods, James River Face and Shenandoah were used as receptors. The only new receptor in this analysis was the James River Face Wilderness area as it is in close enough in proximity to MANE-VU states it may be important receptor to MANE-VU states emissions (assumptions made to incorporate this receptor using the previous constants are explained in detail in Appendix B). See Figure 1 for locations of receptors analyzed in the 2015 analysis.

The geographic domain varied from the previous studies in that Canadian emissions were excluded this time. The remainder of the domain was the same and consistent with the regions modeling domain for other pollutant planning efforts.



Figure 1. Receptors for the 2015 $C_i(Q/d)$ Analysis

Sulfur dioxide (SO₂) emissions from 2011 NEI version 2 were summed for each state across all sectors with the exception of biogenic. This is consistent with the NESCAUM 2012 analysis. However, in the 2015 analysis additional experimental runs were done with volatile organic carbons (VOC), direct fine particulates (PM_{2.5}) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x). With the exception of PM_{2.5} the same methodology was employed (PM_{2.5} emissions were instead divided by distance squared, as Gaussian dispersion equation indicates is appropriate). A "step by step" documentation of this process can be found in Appendix B.

It was determined that the C_i 's, originally derived for the SO_2 emissions, were not appropriate substitutions for these other pollutants; this was most evident in the resulting over estimation of the impact of NO_x at the Class I areas with this methodology. This, in addition with the visibility assessment which also showed the relative importance of sulfates compared to other pollutants in regards to light extinction at the IMPROVE sites analyzed (see Figure 2), led us to conclude that SO_2 was the most accurate and most relevant estimation for determining the impact of states' emissions to the visibility impairment of the MANE-VU Class I areas.



Figure 2. 2013-2014 Monitored Extinction on 20 Haziest Days, Expressed as Percentage of Extinction

In addition to exploring the other haze causing pollutants, the 2015 analysis also reviewed the point only portion of the 2011 NEI v2 emissions. The methodology for this is also outlined in appendix B and followed the same general principles. The $C_i(Q/d)$ for the individual sources were summed for each state. The intent behind this analysis was to evaluate a possibly more accurate method, as Q/d is generally accepted for a screening tool for individual sources. In addition, this provided an understanding of the relative importance of a state's point only contribution to the total contribution of a state. Furthermore, the data from the point source analysis, prior to summation, is useful for later source specific control analyses.

The point analysis was run only with respect to SO_2 emissions. It was determined that it is also of value to run an additional analysis of the 2018 projected emissions for the point sources. The MARAMA $\alpha 2$ 2018 was the base for the projected point inventory analysis. The 2018 analysis did not include the area and mobile sectors as the four-factor emissions inventory analysis determined that point sources were the overwhelming source of SO_2 emissions.⁴

⁴ MANE-VU, 2015. Recommendation on Sectors to Review as Part of the Four-Factor Analysis Based on an Emission Inventory Analysis of SO2 & NOX. Appendix B.,

Results

State Population Weighted Centroid Analysis (State Totals & Comparison to 2012 Analysis) For all of the analyses historical and current, Ohio was determined to be one of the top two contributors for all of the eight Class I areas reviewed. Pennsylvania also continues to be one of the top three for seven of the eight receptors. The majority of the top five contributors were very similar to the previous analysis, however significant reshuffling of the top five is apparent indicating the emissions reductions achieved were not equally applied among the neighboring states, see Table 1.

Class I Area	Rank	2002 Analysis	2012 Analysis	2015 Analysis
(Receptor)		(2002 emissions)	(2007* emissions)	(2011 emissions)
	1	Pennsylvania/Ohio	Pennsylvania	Ohio
<u>.</u>	2		Ohio	Pennsylvania
cadi	3	New York	Indiana	Indiana
Ac	4	Indiana	Michigan	Michigan
	5	West Virginia/ Massachusetts	Georgia	Illinois
	1	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania
cine	2	Ohio	Maryland	Ohio
ant	3	Maryland	Ohio	Maryland
3 rig	4	West Virginia	Indiana	Indiana
ш	5	New York	West Virginia	Kentucky
	1		Pennsylvania	Ohio
spo	2	Nove to 2007 and to a 2002	Ohio	West Virginia
y Sí	3	New to 2007 analysis, no 2002	West Virginia	Pennsylvania
lloc	4	data	Indiana	Indiana
	5		North Carolina	Kentucky
	1		Pennsylvania	Ohio
Sulf	2		Ohio	Pennsylvania
at O	3	Analysis not done	Indiana	Indiana
Bre	4		Michigan	Michigan
U	5		New York	Illinois
<u>ر</u>	1			Ohio
ive	2			Pennsylvania
es R ace	3	New to analysis	s not available for earlier years	Indiana
E E	4		Kentucky	
- Contraction of the second se	5			West Virginia
	1	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania	Pennsylvania
yoc	2	Ohio	Ohio	Ohio
Brc	3	New York	New York	Indiana
-ye	4	Indiana	Indiana	New York
_	5	West Virginia	Michigan/West Virginia	Michigan
_ ر	1	Pennsylvania/ Ohio	Pennsylvania	Ohio
JOLI	2		Ohio	Indiana
seh	3	Indianan/New York	Indiana	Illinois
100	4]	Michigan	Michigan
2	5	Michigan	Texas/Missouri/Illinois/West Virginia/New York	Texas
٩	1	Ohio	Pennsylvania	Ohio
Joa	2	Pennsylvania	Ohio	Pennsylvania
anc	3	West Virginia	West Virginia	Indiana
nər	4	North Carolina	Maryland	West Virginia
S	5	Maryland	Indiana	Virginia

Table 1. Top Five Contributing U.S. States for Total State SO₂ Emissions over the Three Analyses

Note: Cells with more than one source state/territory indicate equal values.

* The 2012 analysis uses 2008 NEI emissions, 2007 NPRI point source emissions and 2009 NPRI area and mobile source emissions. (See table 2-1 of the report NESCAUM, 2012)

Table 2, displays the quantitative contributions to the MANE-VU and neighboring Class I areas between the 2012 analysis (2007 emissions) and the 2015 (2011 emissions). Table 2. Comparison of State Emissions Contributions from 2007 Emissions and 2011 Emissions.

	Acad Natio Pai	dia onal rk	Brigan Wilder Are	ntine mess a	Dolly : Wilder Are	Sods mess a	Great Wilder Are	Gulf mess	James Fac	River :e	Lye Bi Wilder Are	rook mess a	Moose Wilder Are	horn mess a	Shenan Natio Par	doah onal rk
	2007*	2011	2007*	2011	2007*	2011	2007*	2011	2007*	2011	2007*	2011	2007*	2011	2007*	2011
Alabama	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.02	N/A	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.04
Arkansas	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Connecticut	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Delaware	0.01	0.00	0.08	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00
DC	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Florida	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.02
Georgia	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.04	0.01	N/A	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.04
Illinois	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.03	N/A	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04
Indiana	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.07	0.05	N/A	0.09	0.08	0.05	0 .08	0.06	0.12	0.08
Iowa	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
Kansas	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Kentucky	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.02	N/A	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.06
Louisiana	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02
Maine	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00
Maryland	0.05	0.02	0.20	0.06	0.12	0.03	0.03	0.01	N/A	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.15	0.04
Massachusetts	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
Michigan	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.04	N/A	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.04
Minnesota	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
Mississippi	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01
Missouri	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	N/A	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03
Nebraska	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01
New Hampshire	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00
New Jersey	0.01	0.01	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00
New York	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.03	N/A	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02
North Carolina	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.01	N/A	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.04
Ohio	0.13	0.11	0.19	0.12	0.43	0.29	0.12	0.10	N/A	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.11	0.08	0.32	0.21
Oklahoma	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Pennsylvania	0.18	0.08	0.40	0.14	0.50	0.13	0.15	0.06	N/A	0.10	0.29	0.13	0.16	0.02	0.42	0.15
Rhode Island	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
South Carolina	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	N/A	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.02
Tennessee	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.01	N/A	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.06	0.03
Texas	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.02	N/A	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04
Vermont	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Virginia	0.03	0.02	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.01	N/A	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.11	0.07
West Virginia	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.04	0.32	0.14	0.04	0.01	N/A	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.20	0.08
Wisconsin	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	N/A	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02

2011 Point Source Analysis

The analysis was completed for the 2011 NEI v2 point inventory. Table 3, displays the top five ranks states with but the 2011 population weighted centroid SO₂ emissions and the point only SO₂ emissions in the $C_i(Q/d)$ method. Highlighted cells indicate states that varied in their ranks between the analyses. Two of the eight Class I areas saw a significant difference in the rankings; Brigantine and Moosehorn. The relative quantities displayed in Table 3 also indicate that the point sources are still a significant portion of each state's contributions with respect to SO₂ emissions. Figure 3 and Figure 4 below clarify how the evaluation of the contributions by individual source or state total with population centroid approach can alter the results, using Brigantine as an example. The analysis when done by on an individual source places each source with in different vector constants, theoretically more accurate approach especially with the intent to consider individual source contributions in further analyses.

	2011 Point Top	5 Contributions		2011 Centroid Top 5 Co	ontributions
Receptor	State	Contribution	Receptor	State	Contribution
	OH	0.091941355		Ohio	0.110722
<u>a</u> .	PA	0.065000429	<u>a</u> .	Pennsylvania	0.076393
cad	IN	0.050261661	cad	Indiana	0.056531
Ā	MI	0.042254566	Ă	Michigan	0.043586
	IL	0.031767801		Illinois	0.035447
	OH	0.143782214		Pennsylvania	0.144185
ting	PA	0.127168402	tine	Ohio	0.122695
gant	IN	0.060995943	gant	Maryland	0.062602
Brig	КҮ	0.048691472	Brig	Indiana	0.054433
_	ТХ	0.03855251	_	Kentucky	0.051057
	ОН	0.304332742		Ohio	0.285194
ods	PA	0.156460896	spo	West Virginia	0.140909
γs	WV	0.121920177	γs	Pennsylvania	0.13217
	IN	0.091857237	lloC	Indiana	0.096535
_	KY	0.069838976	_	Kentucky	0.070214
	ОН	0.073746721		Ohio	0.097926
Ing	PA	0.052415185	Ing	Pennsylvania	0.062172
at (IN	0.045361066	at (Indiana	0.048236
gre	MI	0.035254865	Gre	Michigan	0.038705
, in the second se	IL	0.027097205		Illinois	0.029948
c)	OH	0.220751954	a)	Ohio	0.148042
acc	PA	0.093719295	acc	Pennsylvania	0.095895
es	IN	0.084795405	es	Indiana	0.085382
am	KY	0.06977157	am	Kentucky	0.070312
	VA	0.055890047	_	West Virginia	0.067112
	OH	0.114401027		Pennsylvania	0.132424
Š	PA	0.098398004	, X	Ohio	0.116413
Br	IN	0.051105607	Brd	Indiana	0.05447
Lye	MI	0.044568087	Lye	New York	0.053722
	NY	0.032786194		Michigan	0.044304
	OH	0.08457113	-	Ohio	0.079613
	PA	0.053933613	ID	Indiana	0.057955
set	IN	0.047024234	set	Illinois	0.036654
Voc	MI	0.038105112	100	Michigan	0.030354
2	IL	0.031793931	2	Texas	0.029351
ء	ОН	0.223136587	ء	Ohio	0.205847
loa	PA	0.129388586	loa	Pennsylvania	0.14796
anc	IN	0.07666613	anc	Indiana	0.079393
hen	WV	0.063798543	hen	West Virginia	0.079183
SI	КҮ	0.057891393	sI	Virginia	0.068504

Table 3. Top Five Ranking Contributing States of Point Only and Population Weighted Centroid Methodology



Figure 3. Wind Sector Constants and the State Total Emissions and the Locations

Figure 4. Wind Vectors Point Source Emissions and Their Locations (2011 Emissions)



Projected 2018 Point Source Analysis

The point contribution analysis was repeated for the point sector of the MARAMA $\alpha 2$ 2018 inventory. The purpose of this analysis is to calculate a best estimate of with our most current understanding of the "start" year for the next regional haze SIP. Thereby reducing the efforts to further analyzed sources, which are known to significantly reduce emissions or no longer exist by 2018. The summation of the individual contributions by state resulted in an overall decrease in the total contributions by 2018 and the relative rankings did reshuffle for 2018, see Table 4 below.

2011*		2018*			
Receptor	Rank	State	Contribution	State	Contribution
	1	OH	0.091941355	PA	0.03442676
<u>a</u> .	2	PA	0.065000429	ОН	0.030218026
cad	3	IN	0.050261661	тх	0.027290416
Ă	4	MI	0.042254566	MO	0.022326675
	5	IL	0.031767801	IN	0.022200948
	1	ОН	0.143782214	PA	0.066174833
tine	2	PA	0.127168402	OH	0.043255256
gan	3	IN	0.060995943	тх	0.033915703
Brig	4	KY	0.048691472	MD	0.033394815
	5	TX	0.03855251	IN	0.02723641
	1	ОН	0.304332742	WV	0.080326515
bo	2	PA	0.156460896	PA	0.079466227
<u>^</u>	3	WV	0.121920177	OH	0.07326551
Dol	4	IN	0.091857237	тх	0.034729442
	5	KY	0.069838976	КҮ	0.034046795
<u> </u>	1	OH	0.073746721	PA	0.028538138
Ing	2	PA	0.052415185	OH	0.025792798
at (3	IN	0.045361066	тх	0.02124918
Gre	4	MI	0.035254865	IN	0.021009177
	5	IL	0.027097205	MO	0.01919794
a	1	OH	0.21967166	ОН	0.059720444
Fac	2	IN	0.088060923	PA	0.04587869
es	3	PA	0.086371599	тх	0.03592808
Jam	4	KY	0.072636643	KY	0.034641141
	5	VA	0.057416645	IN	0.033171851
J	1	OH	0.114401027	PA	0.049709278
100	2	PA	0.098398004	ОН	0.035424463
B	3	IN	0.051105607	ТХ	0.027899648
Lye	4	MI	0.044568087	IN	0.022562486
	5	NY	0.032786194	MO	0.020612201
E.	1	OH	0.08457113	PA	0.028814579
hor	2	PA	0.053933613	ОН	0.028212134
ose	3	IN	0.047024234	ТХ	0.026652076
٩ ٩	4	MI	0.038105112	MO	0.022926812
	5	IL	0.031793931	IN	0.020562191
Ч Ч	1	ОН	0.223136587	PA	0.066894227
ğop	2	PA	0.129388586	ОН	0.058558198
Jan	3	IN	0.07666613	WV	0.038467176
her	4	WV	0.063798543	тх	0.032531606
s	5	KY	0.057891393	IN	0.02970615

Table 4. States with the Five Greatest Point Contributions in 2011 and Projected for 2018

The Q/d contribution analysis showed a promising downward trend at all of the class I areas with IMPROVE monitors in MANE-VU, which is consistent with the ambient air quality measurements. Contributions decreased at all of the class I areas from 2011 to 2018, both the maximum and average state point source contributions were reviewed, See Figure 5. The contributions of the states with the largest point contributions remain fairly consistently in the top 5 through New York and Virginia do drop considerably in ranking when they were in the top 5 for 2011, See Figure 6.

Electric Generating Units (EGUs) that report emissions to the Clean Air Markets Division (CAMD) as a whole still account for the majority of the sulfate contributions to all of the Class I Areas examined (approximately 70% in all cases). Other point sources and non-reporting EGUs (small EGUs) produce the bulk of the remaining contribution. Emissions from oil and gas, refueling, and ethanol point sources have negligible impacts on the monitored Class I areas. Details as to the magnitude and relative importance of 2018 projected emissions from each point source sector can be observed in

Figure 7 and Figure 8, respectively. Figure 9 emphasizes the outsized role of coal EGUs on impact, since nine of the top ten EGU SCCs in terms of projected 2018 impact are from coal powered EGUs (the other SCC in the top ten is associated with oil powered EGUs).



Figure 5: Average and maximum state point source contribution to monitored class I areas for 2011 and 2018

Figure 6. Total point contributions (and percent of total contribution in labels) for 2011 actual and 2018 projections for state in OTC modeling domain.











Figure 7: Impact on Class 1 Areas by Point Sectors



Figure 8: Relative Impact on Class 1 Areas by Point Sectors



Figure 9: Relative Impact of EGU Point Source SCCs on Acadia, Brigantine, Great Gulf, Lye Brook, and Moosehorn (inner to outer)



Conclusions

The 2015 analyses; 2011 state total emissions, 2011 point emissions and the 2018 point emissions, each provide a unique insight to the contribution of each state and source sector the MANE-VU and neighboring class I areas. This report is the summary and is a starting point for the states in the region to assess their contributions to each neighboring class I area and for the class I areas state to further address the appropriate next steps in tandem with the other analyses available.

The summary of the results presented above illuminated two approaches a geographic approach and source sector approach. Geographically, all three of the 2015 analyses resulted in two top contributors, Ohio and Pennsylvania. The remaining state rankings varied by class I area and by analysis type (total emissions vs. point only emissions). The source sector approach, determined that EGUS (more specifically coal EGUs) still dominated the contributions. While emissions have and are projected to decrease in 2018, see Figure 10, further work is needed to accomplish to visibility goals for 2064 and the resulting near term goals for the next ten-year planning cycle.



Appendix A - Inputs to the emissions over distance approach

State	Latitude	Longitude	State	Latitude	Longitude
Alabama	33.008097	-86.756826	Mississippi	32.590954	-89.579514
Arkansas	35.14258	-92.655243	Missouri	38.423798	-92.198469
Connecticut	41.497001	-72.870342	Nebraska	41.1743	-97.315578
Delaware	39.358946	-75.556835	New Hampshire	43.154858	-71.461974
District of Columbia	38.91027	-77.014468	New Jersey	40.43181	-74.432208
Florida	27.822726	-81.634654	New York	41.501299	-74.620909
Georgia	33.376825	-83.882712	North Carolina	35.543075	-79.658232
Illinois	41.286759	-88.390334	Ohio	40.455191	-82.773339
Indiana	40.149246	-86.259514	Oklahoma	35.598464	-96.836786
Iowa	41.946066	-93.036629	Pennsylvania	40.456756	-77.00968
Kansas	38.464949	-96.462812	Rhode Island	41.753609	-71.450869
Kentucky	37.824499	-85.248467	South Carolina	34.025176	-81.011022
Louisiana	30.722814	-91.508833	Tennessee	35.80809	-86.359136
Maine	44.29995	-69.736482	Texas	30.905244	-97.365594
Maryland	39.140769	-76.797763	Vermont	44.094874	-72.816417
Massachusetts	42.272291	-71.36337	Virginia	37.810313	-77.81116
Michigan	42.873187	-84.203434	West Virginia	38.795594	-80.731308
Minnesota	45.203555	-93.571903	Wisconsin	43.721933	-89.018997

Table A-1. Geographic coordinates used for "center of state" locations

Table A-2. Geographic coordinates used for Class I area locations

Class I Area	Area Abbreviation	Latitude	Longitude
Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612
Moosehorn Wilderness Area	MOOS	45.1259	-67.2661
Great Gulf Wilderness Area	GRGU	44.3082	-71.2177
Brigantine Wilderness Area	BRIG	39.465	-74.4492
Lye Brook Wilderness Area	LYBR	43.1481	-73.1267
Shenandoah National Park	SHEN	38.5228	-78.4347
Dolly Sods Wilderness Area	DOSO	39.1069	-79.4262

Table A-3. Wind direction sector constants

Class I Area Abbreviation	Minimum Angle	Maximum Angle	Constant (Ci)
ACAD	0	171	0.00016071
ACAD	172	197	0.00020593
ACAD	198	216	0.00016071
ACAD	217	226	0.00019667
ACAD	227	360	0.00016071
DOSO	0	140	0.00008446
DOSO	141	254	0.00013503
DOSO	255	355	0.00006458
DOSO	356	360	0.00006458
BRIG	0	33	0.0000882
BRIG	34	156	0.0000882
BRIG	157	179	0.00012905
BRIG	180	189	0.00017808
BRIG	190	237	0.00016108
BRIG	238	360	0.0000882

Class I Area Abbreviation	Minimum Angle	Maximum Angle	Constant (Ci)
GRGU	0	170	0.00002371
GRGU	171	203	0.00014956
GRGU	204	236	0.00009968
GRGU	237	289	0.00002371
GRGU	290	360	0.00002371
LYBR	0	143	0.00002303
LYBR	144	225	0.00014575
LYBR	226	240	0.00010289
LYBR	241	299	0.00005815
LYBR	300	360	0.00002303
MOOS	0	173	0.00003842
MOOS	174	184	0.00015274
MOOS	185	196	0.00022409
MOOS	197	209	0.00015967
MOOS	210	211	0.00003842
MOOS	212	212	0.00016344
MOOS	213	215	0.00012298
MOOS	216	225	0.00015147
MOOS	225	360	0.00003842
SHEN	0	133	0.00009164
SHEN	134	280	0.00012969
SHEN	281	311	0.00006097
SHEN	312	360	0.00006097

Note: Above angles are measured in degrees counterclockwise, with east equal to zero degrees.

Appendix B - Q/d in ARC Map Step by Step Instructions



- 1. In new map import state out line shape file. The most up to date shape file can be downloaded at https://www.census.gov/geo/maps-data/data/tiger-line.html
 - a. To import select the add data button circled below.



b. Set definition query to limit view to the states you wish to anlayze. For the 2015 Q/D up date this list of states was used. – Doing this step will save you from memory limits and speed up the calculation steps later on.



- 2. Set the projection for the map
 - a. Right click in the map and select Data Frame Properties.
 - b. Select the Coordinate System Tab
 - c. Select a projection in the projected folder. Depending on your area there may be a different projection that is best suited to your area, but make sure to use one that represents distances correctly, if you do not your distance calculation could be signifigantly skewed. For the purposes of the 2015 Q/d the region USA contigious Equidistant conical. This best represented the states selected and preserved the quality of the distances.

Feature Cache General	Annotation Groups	Extent Indicators oordinate System	Fa	me Size	and Position
Current coordinate	e system:		_		
USA_Contiguous Projection: Equid False_Northing: 1 Central_Meridian Standard_Paralle Standard_Paralle Standard_Paralle Standard_Paralle GCS_North_Ame Dataw: 0_North_Ame	Equidistant_Conic istant_Conic 000000 1.96.000000 1.93.000000 1.133.000000 1.21.45.000000 r 1.22.45.000000 r rcan_1983 American_1983		*	a	ear
4	Constraint Carrow			Transfor	mations
Select a coordinat	e custemi				
.4	 NAD 1983 Cn NAD 1983 Cn Nath 1983 Cn North Americ North Americ North Americ USA Contigue USA Contigue USA Contigue 	nada Allas Lambert east Lakes Basin Albe est Lakes da St. La a Albers Equal Area a Equidatant Conic a Lambert Conform tos Rosal Area ous Albers Equal Are ous Equal Area ous Lambert Conform	4 (III) 4	Mod Imp N Add To I Remove Pro	ify ext • Favorites em Favorites
	U	ОК		Cancel	Aeply

3. Select the add data button again and import the population weighted state centroids.



a. You can calculate geographic centroids through the calculate geometery when adding a field in the polygons of interests table. For the 2015 update this was not done and centroids were used from <u>Appendix A of the Contributions to Regional</u> <u>Haze in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States: Preliminary Update Through</u> 2007, this table was pasted into excel file with state total NH3, SO2, NOX, PM2.5 primary and VOC emissions totals⁵ for each state (minus biogenic/natural totals) and a shape file was made from this appendix.

- b. To create shapefile from csv or excel:
- i. Right click on file in the catalog list select create feature class then select from xy table
- ii. Identify the coodinate system- the coordinates in appendix A are WGS 84.

⁵ NEI 2011 version 2 (April, 2015 download)

- c. Import new shapefile into the map and check the transformation is correct WGS 1984 into North american 1983 is what was used.-Repeat with Class I area monitors coordinates.
- 4. This takes the shape file which is in WGS84 and places it in the correct NAD 83 position; now you must convert your shapfiles to the NAD83 datum so that the distance will result in meters and not the angle from the center of the earth (degrees).



Contiguous Equidistant Conic.prj. (If including Canada in furture I would suggest selecting North America Equidistant Conic) Repeat for the other feature.

 Congregistic Coordinate System: Warming
 Image: System: S

5. To convert each shapefile to the projection needed open Data Management Tools>Projections and Transformations>Feature>Project (see image at left)

6. Select one of your features (State Centroids with Emissions or the Park Monitors) as the Input Data Set. Select output coordinate system to be the best for calculating distance. In this case we used

4 4 - 8 - 8 - 11 1 - 0 5	S\$0 3+4	a Track Delawed in Partices Class		Project
Louise Televel (place Televel Unit Harappent)	un be Australia and			a report
10000		Input Condinate Summer (sphered)		Ciestor a rice differel pi
Loning Trail # 1	Louis Anna			feature class with the combute system
Quick Brigant	-	& Collaul Delianti in Penture Casa		specified
I State Management Tackatte	Spe fundem	a Departmenter form		a Discontration
a 🖬 👘 Database	-		5	Nature class-
i Sp Dubituted Securities		Degrafic Tarofematian (aptimal)		Statures Both the most feature client
in the Feature Class			100	are projected to the
iii Se Festare				inex () (ordinals system and united
a Se The Semistration			×	to the output feature
It Sectored			+	A The post is a
in Sectore				Sature dataset-all
ii 💁 Indenti			(*)	Nature classes et
in the local and field lines				different will be
a Se Package		1		projected to the new coordinate system
III Sp Projections and Transformations				and written to the
S Said Prijed				Supplierer Genet
Fight				
Convert Coordinate Restation				1.00
Create Culture Georgegitic Ter				INNI
County Spatial Reference				
= Sy Later				F
iii Se Relationship Clarixes			OK Canal Statempts. ALTER THE	Tel min
a Se Tata				
Toolson Tool adjusted				
Contraction () and an only of the second se				

7. To ensure your transformation took check the units in the lower right, if you are in NAD 83 projected they should be in meters not DD. If it did not take go into data management tools and projections and retry the projection. Use this tool to project the geometric layer into a projected.

- 8. Calculate distance
 - Open Arc tool box and select analysis tools and proxmity tool set. The input feature was state centroids. Make sure to use the newly create shape file that is projected into the flat projection not your WGS 84 file.
- 9. Do a quick does this make sense check- by joining the features and new output table to get the context. Right click on your newly created distance table select Joins and Relates and then Join. Your input feature was your states. First Select the States feature for box 2. Box 1 is choices of columns from your new distance table input_FID is the state tables object ID select this column and Object Id should auto populate for selection three if it doesn't select it. Then select validate join. Then select ok. It will tell you the number of joins created this will enable you



to notice an error immediately. Too many , too little? Often this is result of formating error. You will need to edit the layer to match the format of one of those columns to match the other. Which you choose to edit doesn't matter as long as they are the same and retain all their digits.

10. Repeat the join for the parks but this time use Near FID column to match the object ID in the parks shapefile.



- 11. Distance is output in m recalculate in km
 - a. Add new field to newly created distance table.
 - b. Title it and field type should be double

「「「「」」の「「「」」									
Find & Replace									×
Select By Attributes		DISTANCE	OBJECTID*	State	Latitude	Longitude	Ammonia	Nitrogen_Oxides	PM10_P +
territy	- 6	2031944.671577	1	Alabama	33.008097	-86.756826	77409.093764	359635.790178	406105
CONTACTORIA	- i	2308506.489105	2	Arkansas	35.14258	-92.855243	132991 701013	232268.42157	46904
Switch Selection	ī	492848.548289	3	Connecticut	41.497001	-72.870342	5204.147551	72840 263411	39156
Select All		820768.244198	4	Delaware	39.358946	-75.556835	6784.094568	29457.808581	15194
		945735.335034	5	District of Columbia	38.91027	-77.014468	329.752029	9402 978353	3410
Add Field	1	2189037 893024	6	Fiorida	27.822726	-81.634654	68054.307484	608445.171288	456450
Turn All Fields On		1814780 006521	7	Georgia	33.376825	-83.882712	111689 098011	452639.956991	822707
Ph. F. I.A.M.	- 1	1672135.953894	8	linois	41,206759	-88.390334	110250.00475	508079.407513	769146
show held Allases		1545893.446298		Indiana	42 149246	-86.259514	115233.552979	443546.25846	546815
Arrange Tables		2020575 356908	10	lows	41.946068	-93.036629	288248 84406	240310.67126	572080
		2425566.753387	11	Kanses	38.464949	-96.462812	190176.908592	343738.796637	900342
Restore Default Column Widths	- 8	1592366.706269	12	Kentucky	37.824499	-05.248407	58130.201353	327821.147234	251587
Restore Default Field Order	- 1	2532602.243436	13	Louisiana	30.722814	-91 508833	75863 88239	531068.535475	432023
1	- 1	117621.273405	14	Maine	44.29995	-69.736482	8084.827837	59630 74092	49958
Joins and Kelates	- 18	914701.323106	15	Maryland	39.140769	+76,797763	26894 265781	165477.358272	77594
Related Tables	- + E	342964.847123	16	Massachusetts	42.272291	-71,36337	7252.280787	130950.424417	163439
Create Graph	- 1	1292823.837938	17	Michigan	42.873187	-84.203434	65902.069811	444530.661798	422026
	- 2	1997787.683727	18	Minnesota	45.203555	-93,571903	209100 778629	316619.507601	500549
Add Table to Layout	- 2	2257275.631507	19	Mississippi	32.590954	-89.579514	65601.171916	205923 535846	82
Reland Carbo	- 2	2091947.06173	20	Missouri	38.423798	-92.198469	138847.487612	373049.643977	925240
New Second		2385566 228393	25	Nebraska	41.1743	-97.315578	185234.92077	217221 590425	527319
Print_	- 1	290813.249596	22	New Hampshire	43.154858	-71.461974	2690.059922	36574.88598	33434
Reports	I	669218.87673	23	New Jersey	40,43181	-74.432298	8330.752162	168439.379971	51412
	- 1	607901.363638	24	New York	41.501299	-74.820909	51521.225329	387379.480149	291349
Example 1		1376680.862479	25	North Carolina	35.543075	-79.658232	175501.518534	369596.638797	244004
Export		1265500 428138	26	One	40.455191	-82.773339	105763.37216	583967.298112	468058
	Image: Section 2016 Image: Section 2016 Find & Replace Select By Attributes Char Forescence Select All Add Fields Tom All Fields On Show Field Aliases Arrange Tables Restore Default Column Widths Restore Default Field Order Joins and Relates Related Tables Related Tables Create Graph Add Table to Layout Related Cache Pinitt Second	Image: Section 2016 Image: Section 2016 Select By Attributes Charl Selection Select All Image: Section 2016 Add Fields. Image: Section 2016 Show Field Allaces Image: Section 2016 Show Field Allaces Image: Section 2016 Arrange Tables Image: Restore Default Column Widths Restore Default Field Order Joins and Betates Joins and Betates Image: Restore 1000 Add Tables to Layout Reladed Cache Pindt Image: Restore 1000	Biol Biol Biol Constraint Select Dy Attributes 2001944 671577 2000944 671577 Char Gancsan 2001944 671577 2000944 671577 Select Dy Attributes 2001944 671577 2000964 80100 Select All 8007400 24196 400570 800451 Add Fields 1 146295 20004 Tum All Fields Dn 1 14672135 950844 Show Field Aliases 1 146290 04521 Arrange Tables 200052 04200 1 And Fields 1 2425566 753307 Anterset Tables 1 20020 244300 Anterset Tables 1 300720 241940 Joins and Relates 1 3425566 753307 Palated Tables 1 342566 753307 Create Graph 1 199787 680322 Add Table to Layout 1 2252735 801507 Relad Cache 220013244602 2201477 001732106 201622 03799 1 2252735 801507 Relade Cache 220013244603 220013244603 201622	Dist Aug Dist Aucc Object 10* Select By Attributes 0	Bit Stance Bit Stance Bit Stance Select Dy Attributes 2001944 (671577) Alatema Charl Sources 2001944 (671577) Alatema Select Dy Attributes 2001944 (671577) Alatema Select All 12005506 (80105) 2 Anamasa Select All 18027400 244196 Delaware Add Fields 12109037 (893024) 6 Percida Tum All Fields Dn 11440903 44208 9 Indana Show Field Aliases 1144093 44208 9 Indana Arrange Tables 120202 254348 10 owns Restore Default Field Order 1232020 2323397 11 Kaneas Joins and Relates 1342022 233798 11 Uanen Joins and Relates 1342022 233798 11 Wanen Joins and Relates 1342022 233798 11 Wanen Create Graph 194701 22316 19 Wanen 194701 22316 19 Wanen 1222222 23798 Add Table to Layout 1232725 31107 19 Wanesource 12322173 51107 19 Wanesource 1220221 23798 Relad Cache	Image Image <thimage< th=""> Image <thi< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""></th<></td></th<></td></th<></td></thi<></thimage<>	Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""></th<></td></th<></td></th<>	Image Image <th< td=""><td>Image Image <th< td=""></th<></td></th<>	Image Image <th< td=""></th<>

c. Right click new column and select field calculator and insert equation [distance]/1000

ble						_		_			10
· -	0 2 4 3	ĸ									
stance Calc2011											×
OBJECTIO *	INPUT_FID	NEAR FID	DISTANCE	OBJECTID*	State	Le	titude	Longitude	Ammonia	Nitrogen_Oxides	PM10_P +
6	1	1	2031944.871577	t (Alabama	33	008097	-86.756826	77409.093764	359835.790178	466185
13	2	1	2308506.489105	2	Arkansas	36	5.14258	-92.655243	132991.701013	232268 42157	48904
20	3	1	492848 548289	3	Connecticut	41	497001	-72.876342	5204 147551	72640.263411	39156
27	4	1	820768.244196	4	Delaware	39	358948	-75.556835	\$784.094568	29457.806581	15194
34	5	1	945735.335034	5	Detrict of Columb	ia 31	8.91027	177.014468	329 752029	\$402.978353	3410
41	8	1	2189037.893024	6	Florida	27	822728	-81.634654	68054.307484	608445.171288	458450
45	7	1	1814780.006521	7	Georgia	33.	376825	-83.882712	111689.096011	452639.956991	822707
55	0	1	1672135.953094		Rinois.	41	206759	-88.390334	136250.06475	508079.407513	769146
62	9	1	1548893.446296	9	Indiana	40	149246	-56.259514	115233.552979	443546,26846	545815
69	10	1	2020575.356906	10	lowa.	41	946066	-93 036629	288248.84406	240310/67126	572080
76	11	1	2425566.753387	11	Contract		-	1 2	0176.908592	343736.796637	900342
83	12	1	1592388.706269	12	Add held			Carl Contractor	0130.201353	327821.147234	251587
90	13	1	2532602.243436	13	and a second				75863 86239	531068 535475	432023
97	14	1	117821.273405	14	Name:	Detancekm			0084.827837	59630.74092	49958
104	15	1	914701.323106	15					6854 265781	185477.358272	77594
151	16	1	342964.847123	16	Type:	Shot integer	_	*	212 280787	136950-424417	163439
113	17	1	1292023 837938	17		Short Integer			0902.069615	444530-061795	422026
125	18	1	1997787 663727	18	Feld Propert	Long Integer			100.778629	316619.507601	500549
132	19	1	2257275.631507	19	Also	Roat			6001.171916	201923-535846	82
139	20	1	2091947.06173	20	Alma NU	Touble			6847.467612	373049.643977	925240
146	21	1	2385566.228393	21	Default 10	Date			85234.92977	217221.590425	527319
153	22	1	290813.249596	22	Consult of	Blob			2690.059922	36574.00590	33434
160	23	1	669218.87673	23		Raster			6330.752162	168439 379971	51412
167	24	1	607901.363638	24		Gud			521.225329	387379 450149	291349
174	25	1	1376685.862479	25					001.510534	369596.638797	244004
181	26	1	1265500.428138	26					05763.37216	583967,298112	463056
140			000000C.0400AD				-	_	ages receive	Takena disart	The sea
		the second second	Concerning in the local day				0K	Cancel			
	1 P H 🔳	(0 out	of 252 Selected)				-				
intance Calc 201	811						_	_			

able									8
四- 四-	-	I O X							
Distance Calc	2011	-							x
OBJECTI	D_1*	OBJECTID *	Class_I_Ar	Area_Abbre	Latitude	Longitude	Distance_Calc2011.Dist	ancekm	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	1	Sort Ascending	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		6 . I.B	E
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	5	Soft Descending	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		Advanced Sorting	
1	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		Cummunation	
20	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		Summanzen	
1.	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	2	Statistics	
-	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	(5)	Eield Calculator	
210	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		a ried calculatoria.	
1	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	-	Calculate Geometry	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	-	Turp Field Off	
11	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		Turried on	_
-	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	-	Freeze/Unfreeze Column	
1	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612		Poloto Field	
11	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	1	Deleteriela	_
1	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	C.	Properties	
21	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			_
11	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612			-
		4	A any Alle Madda and Davids	14040	** 777*	C0 3043		1	

Tab	le							
0		N I II I						
Dis	tance_Calc2011							
	OBJECTID_1*	OBJECTID *	Class_I_Ar	Area_Abbre	Latitude	Longitude	Distance_Calc2011.Distancekm	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	2031.94467157676	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	ACAD	44.3771	-68.2612	2308.50648910491	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Field Calculator	-		? X	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	rield Calculator		-		
	1	1	Acadia National Park	1				
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Parser	the second			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	O VB Script	Python			
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Fields:		Type	Euroctions:	
	1	1	Acadia National Park			Type.	Tanedona.	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Distance_Calc201:	OBJECTID	^ (Nu	mber Abs ()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Distance_Calc201	.INPUT_FID		Aur() Cos()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Distance_Calc201	.NEAR_FID	= Str	Exp()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Distance_Calc2011	DISTANCE	Da	te Fix ()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	State 2011Emission	Projected.OBJE	C	Int ()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	State 2011 Emission	Projected State		Log ()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	State 2011 Emission	Projected Latit	d	Sar ()	
	4	1	A cadia National Park	- State 2011Emission	Drojected Long		Tan ()	
	1	1	Acadia National Park		rojecteo.cong			
	1	1	Acadia National Park			·		
	4	1	A cadia National Park	Show Codeblock			GEGEGE	
	1	1	A cadia National Park				* / & + - =	
	1	1	Acadia National Park	Distance_Calc2011.	Distancekm =			
	4	1	Acadia National Park	[Distance_Calc201	1.DISTANCE] /1	000	-	
	1	1	Acadia National Dark	-				
	1	1	Acadia National Park	-				
	1		Acadia National Park					
	1	1	Acaula National Park	-				
1								
1		N. N. 1	(0 out of 252 Selected)					
11 .			(o out of 202 beletited)					
Di	stance_Calc2011							
	10						-	
								3 da
	1					Clear	oad Save Help	
			•		-			
		1						1
		4					OK Cancel	4
		many						1

12. Calculate the wind vector that the state falls in for each Class I monitor

- a. Create new field in state table (type=double)
- 13. Load or select code book and write an equation for calculating bearing from Class I area to state. For the 2015 update this code was written. Should your column titles be different than Longitude, Latitude, Latitude_1, and longitude_1 it is easiest to open the script file in note pad first and do a find and replace to rename each appropriately as your columns are named in your files. Because the Ci from appendix A of the <u>"Contributions to Regional Haze in the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic United States: Preliminary Update Through 2007"</u> Uses the due east coordinate as 0 degrees and in a counter clockwise direction your bearing will need to be slide 90 degrees and rotated should you want to QA with respect to a north heading. The Ci were developed with this counter clockwise (radian quadrants), see image below for the Acadia example. The equation below puts these in that quadrant system and this result will be the one you apply your Ci value to.

Dim Pi Dim SlatR Dim SlonR Dim PlatR Dim PlonR Dim dlon Dim X Dim Y Dim Dx Dim Dy Dim Bear Dim Bearing Pi=4*Atn(1)SlatR= [FaciProjecEastS02.latitude_m]*(Pi/180) SlonR= [FaciProjecEastS02.longitude_]*(Pi/180) PlatR= [ClassIProjected.Latitude]*(Pi/180) PlonR= [ClassIProjected.Longitude]*(Pi/180) dlon=SlonR-PlonR X=Sin(dlon)*Cos(SlatR) Y=Cos(PlatR)*Sin(SlatR)-Sin(PlatR)*Cos(SlatR)*Cos(dlon) If X>0 AND Y>0 then Bear=Atn(Y/X)ElseIf X<0 AND Y>0 then Bear=Pi+Atn(Y/X) ElseIf X<0 ANd Y<0 then Bear=Pi+Atn(Y/X) ElseIf X>0 AND Y<0 then Bear=2*PI+Atn(Y/X) Else Bear=9999 End If

Bearing=Bear*(180/Pi)

14. Then add new field (again type is double). Q/d Right click and select field calculator and divide emissions by distance in km repeat until each desired Q/d is done. Note – with primary pollutants like PM2.5 use d^2



15. Optional Step for QA Check: Add another field (type=double) dim WVE

```
If [Distance_Calc2011.WV] < 90 then
WVE=90 - [Distance_Calc2011.WV]
Else
WVE=360 - [Distance_Calc2011.WV]- 90
End If</pre>
```

This column will have comparable angles to what you think of as a heading w North being zero, easier to quickly eye ball errors.



- 16. Add another field (type=double) and calculate Q/d*C depending on vector calculated earlier. The below scipt was used for 2015 update. Repeated for other pollutants if desired, this study experimented with the other precursors of PM2.5 but in the end found these results to be unreliable and not a priority and were therefore removed. Again easiest way to replace column titles is to open the scrip in Note pad first and find and replace all of that name with the appropriate column names. Remember to use the azimuth created in step 13.
 - Adding recptors- For the 2015 study the James River Face Wilderness Area was added.
 This was done to be thorough in considering where MANE-VU states may contribute to.
 To do so the constants were needed and Dolly Sods and Shenandoah were substituted

to see what made the most sense. Therefore the script below was run twice, once as JARI with SHEN's if then statements and once with JARI with the DOLLY if then statements. Code below illustrates the Shenadoah (SHEN) run.

```
Dim QDC
If [Area_Abbreviation] ="ACAD" then
If [Azimuth] >=171.5 AND [Azimuth] <197.45 then
QDC=[VOCQoD] *0.00020593
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=216.5 AND [Azimuth] <226.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00019667
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00016071
End If
Else
If [Area_Abbreviation] = "DOSO" then
If [Azimuth] <140.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00008446
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=140.5 AND [Azimuth] <254.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00013503
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00006458
End If
Else
If [Area Abbreviation] = "BRIG" then
If [Azimuth] <156.5 then
ODC= [VOCOoD] *0.0000882
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=156.5 AND [Azimuth] <179.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00012905
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=179.5 AND [Azimuth] <189.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00017808
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=189.5 AND [Azimuth] <237.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00016108
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.0000882
End If
Else
If [Area_Abbreviation] = "GRGU" then
If [Azimuth] <171 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00002371
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=170.5 AND [Azimuth] <203.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00014956
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=203.5 AND [Azimuth] <236.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00009968
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00002371
End If
Else
If [Area_Abbreviation] = "LYBR" then
If [Azimuth] <143.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00002303
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=143.5 AND [Azimuth] <225.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00014575
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=225.5 AND [Azimuth] <240.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00010289
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=240.5 AND [Azimuth] <299.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00005815
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00002303
End If
```

```
Else
If [Area_Abbreviation] = "MOOS" then
If [Azimuth] <173.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00003842
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=173.5 AND [Azimuth] <184.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00015274
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=184.5 AND [Azimuth] <196.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00022409
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=196.5 AND [Azimuth] <209.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00015967
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=209.5 AND [Azimuth] <211.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00003842
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=211.5 AND [Azimuth] <212.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00016344
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=212.5 AND [Azimuth] <215.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00012298
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=215.5 AND [Azimuth] <225.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00015147
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00003842
End If
Else
If [Area_Abbreviation] = "SHEN" then
If [Azimuth] <133.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00009164
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=133.5 AND [Azimuth] <280.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00012969
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00006097
End If
Else
If [Area_Abbreviation] = "JARI" then
If [Azimuth] <133.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00009164
ElseIf [Azimuth] >=133.5 AND [Azimuth] <280.5 then
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00012969
Else
QDC= [VOCQoD] *0.00006097
End If
Else
ODC=0
End If
End If
End If
End If
End If
End If
```

End If

	Class_I_Ar	Area_Abbre	Latitude	Longitude	Distance_Calc2011.SO2Qo	Distance_Calc2	011.NOxQoD	Distance_Calc -
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	39.465	-74.4492		274	135807171992	240.7:
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	39.465	-74.4492		138	836060831957	259.0
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	ald Calculator			2 X	056804542	30.07:
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	in colourator			V	04736744	263.2
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Daraar				983436187	255.2:
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Parser NP Carint	Duther			137729898	209.2
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	o vo script	Python			081221796	234.1!
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Fields:		Type:	Functions:	248435974	284.2
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	-			Alan ()	534964762	278.0
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Distance_Calc	2011.OBJECTID	 Number 	Abs ()	369122989	285.9!
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Distance_Calc	2011.INPUT_FIE	E Cohine	Cos ()	519864151	93.67
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Distance_Calc	2011.NEAR_FID	- O'sung	Exp()	815434377	262.4
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Distance_Calc	2011.DISTANCE	O Date	Fix ()	969349706	243.0!
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	State 2011Emi	issionProjected.C	BJEC'	Int()	296184024	34.41(
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	State2011Emi	issionProjected.S	tate	Sin ()	299234069	260.6:
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	State 2011Emi	issionProjected.L	atitud	Sqr()	542508164	38.73
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	State 2011Emi	issionProjected.L	ongitu 🕳	Tan ()	991437465	298.0!
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	2 111	_		1.1.1.1	218631845	298.3
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	A [604480639	245.31
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Show Codeb	block			818118331	91.32
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Pre-Logic Script	Code:			357921586	282.9
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	Dim OrD				90987257	30.32
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	OoD= State?	011EmissionProje	ected Nitrogen Ovides] // [Dist	ance Calc2011 DISTAN	48281858	0.7664!
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG	400 10000				41360962	356.3
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG					562069132	228.1
4 Brigant	ine Wilderness Area	BRIG					821530877	281.4
1 0		DDIO				+		7 1 7 1
			4		m.	E		
0 + +	1 🔲 🗐 (0 out of 2	52 Selected)	Distance Calc?	011 502060 -				
			Distance_Carcz	011.302200 -				
Calc2011			QeD			1		
1		-				T		
							-	3
[Clear Load	Save Help		1
								1
	1							1
	4					OK Cancel	1	(

- 17. Final step export table to CSV for charts (can do in ARC map as well but more workable format for large group in excel)
- 18. If these steps are applied to individual sources; then summation for each point by state can be done easily in excel via the pivot table function. This was the case for the 2015 q/d point analysis.