

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Statutory Authority: 14 Delaware Code, Section 122(b) (14 Del.C. §122(b))
14 DE Admin. Code 108

PROPOSED

Education Impact Analysis Pursuant To 14 Del.C. Section 122(D)

108 Administrator Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II)

A. TYPE OF REGULATORY ACTION REQUIRED

Amendment to Existing Regulation

B. SYNOPSIS OF SUBJECT MATTER OF THE REGULATION

The Secretary of Education seeks the consent of the State Board of Education to amend 14 DE Admin. Code 108 Administrator Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II). The amendments include an effective date and a cross reference to a new proposed regulation designed to replace this regulation with the 2011-2012 school year. Other technical amendments have been made.

Persons wishing to present their views regarding this matter may do so in writing by the close of business on or before January 5, 2010 to Susan Haberstroh, Education Associate, Regulation Review, Department of Education, at 401 Federal Street, Suite 2, Dover, Delaware 19901. A copy of this regulation is available from the above address or may be viewed at the Department of Education business office.

C. IMPACT CRITERIA

1. Will the amended regulation help improve student achievement as measured against state achievement standards? The amendments are intended to support an increase in student achievement as measured against the state achievement standards.

2. Will the amended regulation help ensure that all students receive an equitable education? The amendments are intended to help ensure that all students receive an equitable education.

3. Will the amended regulation help to ensure that all students' health and safety are adequately protected? The amendments to the regulation do not specifically address the health and safety of students.

4. Will the amended regulation help to ensure that all students' legal rights are respected? The amendments to the regulation are intended to ensure all students' legal rights continue to be respected.

5. Will the amended regulation preserve the necessary authority and flexibility of decision making at the local board and school level? The amendments to the regulation preserve the necessary authority and flexibility of decision making at the local board and school level.

6. Will the amended regulation place unnecessary reporting or administrative requirements or mandates upon decision makers at the local board and school levels? The amendments to the regulation do not place unnecessary reporting or administrative requirements or mandates upon decision makers at the local board and school levels.

7. Will the decision making authority and accountability for addressing the subject to be regulated be placed in the same entity? The decision making authority and accountability for teacher evaluation does not change through the amendments.

8. Will the amended regulation be consistent with and not an impediment to the implementation of other state educational policies, in particular to state educational policies addressing achievement in the core academic subjects of mathematics, science, language arts and social studies? The amended regulation is consistent with other state educational policies, in particular the educational policies related to achievement in the core academic subjects.

9. Is there a less burdensome method for addressing the purpose of the regulation? There is not a less burdensome method for addressing the purpose of the regulation at this time.

10. What is the cost to the State and to the local school boards of compliance with the regulation? There are no anticipated increased costs to the State or to the local school boards for compliance with the amendments to

this regulation.

108 Administrator Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II)

1.0 The Administrator Appraisal Process, Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II), shall be effective for the following school districts and charter schools beginning with the 2007-08 school year:

Appoquinimink

Caesar Rodney

Colonial

Lake Forest

Laurel

Sussex Technical

MOT Charter

Providence Creek Academy Charter

Sussex Academy of the Arts and Sciences

The Administrator Appraisal Process, Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II), shall be effective for all public school districts and charter schools beginning with the 2008-2009 school year.

1.1 For purposes of this regulation, an administrator shall be a professional employee authorized by a board to serve in a supervisory capacity involving the oversight of an instructional program(s).

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

2.0 Definitions

The following definitions shall apply for purposes of this regulation:

“Board” shall mean the local board of education or charter school board of directors.

“Credentialed Evaluator” shall mean the individual, usually the supervisor of the administrator, who has successfully completed the evaluation training in accordance with 10.0. A superintendent shall be evaluated by member(s) of the local school board of education who shall also have successfully completed the evaluation training in accordance with 10.0. The Credentialed Evaluator may also be referred to as “Evaluator”.

“DASA” shall mean the Delaware Association of School Administrators.

“DPAS II Guide for Administrators” shall mean the manual that contains the prescribed forms, detailed procedures, evaluation criteria and other relevant documents that are used to implement the appraisal process.

“DSEA” shall mean the Delaware State Education Association.

“Experienced Administrator” shall mean an administrator who has three (3) or more years of service as an administrator.

“Formative Process” shall consist of the Goal Setting Conference, self evaluation, a survey of staff that are supervised by the administrator, and formative conferences and reports as outlined in the *DPAS II Guide for Administrators*.

“Improvement Plan” shall be the plan that an administrator and evaluator mutually develop in accordance with 8.0.

“Inexperienced Administrator” shall mean an administrator who has less than three (3) years of service as an administrator.

“Satisfactory Component Rating” shall mean the administrator’s performance demonstrates an understanding of the concepts of the component.

“Satisfactory Evaluation” shall be equivalent to the overall “Effective” or “Needs Improvement” rating on the Summative Evaluation.

“State Assessment” shall mean the Delaware Student Testing Program (DSTP) or its successor.

“Summative Evaluation” shall be the final evaluation at the conclusion of the appraisal cycle.

“Unsatisfactory Component Rating” shall mean the administrator’s performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the concepts of the component.

“Unsatisfactory Evaluation” shall be the equivalent to the overall “Ineffective” rating on the Summative Evaluation.

“Working Day” shall mean a day when the employee would normally be working in that district or charter school.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

3.0 Appraisal Cycles

- 3.1 Experienced administrators who have earned a rating of “Effective” on his or her most recent Summative Evaluation shall receive a minimum of one (1) Formative Process each year with a Summative Evaluation at least once every two (2) years.
- 3.2 Experienced administrators who have earned a rating of “Needs Improvement” or “Ineffective” on their most recent Summative Evaluation shall receive a minimum of one (1) Formative Process with a Summative Evaluation at the end of the one year period. These administrators shall have an Improvement Plan which may require additional Formative Process(es) or other types of monitoring as outlined in the *DPAS II Guide for Administrators*.
- 3.3 Inexperienced administrators shall have a minimum of one (1) Formative Process with a Summative Evaluation at the end of the one (1) year period. Inexperienced administrators who have earned a rating of “Needs Improvement” or “Ineffective” on their most recent Summative Evaluation shall have an Improvement Plan which may require additional Formative Process(es) or other types of monitoring as outlined in the *DPAS II Guide for Administrators*.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

4.0 DPAS II Guide for Administrators

- 4.1 All districts and charter schools shall use the manual entitled *DPAS II Guide for Administrators* as developed and as may be amended by the Department of Education in collaboration with DSEA and DASA to implement the appraisal system.
 - 4.1.1 The manual shall contain at a minimum the following:
 - 4.1.1.1 Specific details about each of the five (5) Appraisal Components pursuant to 5.1.
 - 4.1.1.2 All forms or documents needed to complete the requirements of the appraisal process.
 - 4.1.1.3 Specific procedures to implement the appraisal system.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

5.0 Appraisal Components and Appraisal Criteria

- 5.1 The following five (5) Appraisal Components, including the four (4) Appraisal Criteria specified for each, shall be the basis upon which the performance of an administrator shall be evaluated by a certified evaluator(s):
 - 5.1.1 Vision and Goals
 - 5.1.1.1 Using Data: Administrator, in collaboration with others such as the school or district improvement team or board, uses multiple sources of information and assists in analyzing data to establish rigorous and concrete school or district improvement goals in the context of student achievement and instructional programs.
 - 5.1.1.2 Implementing Vision and Goals: Administrator provides leadership for major initiatives and change efforts relative to the school or district improvement goals. Administrator is committed to doing the work required for continuous school and district improvement.
 - 5.1.1.3 Promoting Vision and Goals: Administrator promotes high expectations for teaching and learning. Administrator is committed to ensuring that all students have the knowledge and skills necessary to become successful in future educational activities.
 - 5.1.1.4 Communicating the Vision and Goals: Administrator communicates effectively to appropriate stakeholders about progress towards meeting the school or district

improvement plan goals. Administrator participates in a process to regularly monitor, evaluate and revise school or district improvement goals.

5.1.2 Culture of Learning

5.1.2.1 Advocating a Culture of Learning: Administrator provides leadership for assessing, developing and improving the school or district culture and instructional program that is conducive to student learning. Administrator can articulate the desired school or district instructional program and shows evidence about how he or she reinforces the instructional program and culture.

5.1.2.2 Monitoring the Culture of Learning: Administrator participates in monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the curriculum, instruction or assessment of students. Administrator evaluates staff and provides on-going coaching for improvement. Administrator uses a variety of sources of information to make decisions.

5.1.2.3 Sustaining the Culture of Learning: Administrator helps to ensure that staff have professional development opportunities that enhance their performance and improve student learning. Administrator is accessible and approachable by staff, families, and community and is visible in the school or district community. Administrator supports the use of technology as appropriate in teaching and learning.

5.1.2.4 Maintaining the Culture of Learning: Administrator systematically and fairly recognizes accomplishments of staff and students towards a positive school or district culture. Administrator uses and analyzes data to instill the importance of continually developing programs and strategies to enhance opportunities for learning.

5.1.3 Management

5.1.3.1 Solving Problems or Concerns: Administrator addresses and resolves issues as they arise in a timely manner and works to prevent potential problems. Operational procedures are designed and managed to maximize opportunities for learning for all students.

5.1.3.2 Managing Resources: Administrator manages fiscal and physical resources responsibly, efficiently and effectively. Administrator protects instructional time by managing operational procedures in such a way as to maximize learning. Administrator efficiently manages his or her time so that teaching and learning are a high priority.

5.1.3.3 Complying with Policies: Administrator complies with federal, state, and board policies. School or district contractual agreements are effectively managed. Administrator maintains confidentiality and privacy of school or district records, including student or staff information.

5.1.3.4 Protecting the Welfare and Safety of Students and Staff: Administrator works to ensure a safe and secure school or district environment and a culture that is conducive to teaching and learning. Challenges that could potentially interrupt teaching and learning are addressed and resolved.

5.1.4 Professional Responsibilities

5.1.4.1 Maintaining Professional Relationships: Administrator fosters and maintains positive professional relationships with staff. Administrator is respectful of other's opinions and demonstrates an appreciation for and sensitivity to diversity in the school or district community.

5.1.4.2 Promoting Family and Community Involvement: Administrator collaboratively works to establish a culture that encourages and welcomes families and community members and seeks ways in which to engage them in student learning.

5.1.4.3 Demonstrating Fairness: Administrator is fair and consistent when dealing with students and staff. Administrator demonstrates values, beliefs and attitudes that inspire all students and staff to higher levels of performance.

5.1.4.4 Growing and Developing Professionally: Administrator chooses and participates in professional development that is aligned with his or her professional needs and aligned with the needs of the school or district.

5.1.5 Student Improvement

- 5.1.5.1 Showing Student Improvement: Administrator uses school or district goals from the school or district improvement process to set his or her personal annual data driven goal(s) for student improvement. Data used to establish goals shall include school or district accountability data, State Assessment data, and other assessment data where available.
- 5.1.5.2 Measuring Student Improvement: Administrator has specific, measurable evidence to show progress towards or attainment of goal(s) for student improvement.
- 5.1.5.3 Implementing Strategies for Student Improvement: Administrator designs and implements appropriate strategies to show progress towards or attainment of goal(s) for student improvement.
- 5.1.5.4 Reflecting on Student Improvement: Administrator reflects on goal setting process and outcomes for the purpose of continuous professional improvement and shares student improvement information with other staff as appropriate.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

6.0 Summative Evaluation Ratings

- 6.1 Each of the five (5) components pursuant to 5.0 shall be weighted equally and assigned a rating of Satisfactory or Unsatisfactory on the Summative Evaluation.
 - 6.1.1 A satisfactory rating for each Appraisal Component shall mean the administrator demonstrates acceptable performance by meeting at least three (3) of the four (4) Appraisal Criteria specified in each of the five (5) components set forth in 5.1.
- 6.2 The Summative Evaluation shall also include one of three overall ratings: "Effective", "Needs Improvement" or "Ineffective".
 - 6.2.1 "Effective" shall mean that the administrator has received Satisfactory Component ratings in at least four (4) of the five (5) components in accordance with the Appraisal Criteria in 5.0.
 - 6.2.2 "Needs Improvement" shall mean that the administrator has received three (3) Satisfactory Component ratings out of the five (5) components in accordance with the Appraisal Criteria in 5.0.
 - 6.2.3 "Ineffective" shall mean that the administrator has received two (2) or fewer Satisfactory Component ratings out of the five (5) components in accordance with the Appraisal Criteria in 5.0.
 - 6.2.3.1 If an administrator's overall Summative Evaluation rating is determined to be "Needs Improvement" for the third consecutive year, the administrator's rating shall be re-categorized as "Ineffective".

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

7.0 Pattern of Ineffective Administrative Performance

A pattern of ineffective administrative performance shall be based on the most recent Summative Evaluation ratings of an administrator using the DPAS II process. Two consecutive ratings of "Ineffective" shall be deemed as a pattern of ineffective administration. The following chart shows the consecutive Summative Evaluation ratings determined to be a pattern of ineffective administrative performance:

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Ineffective	Ineffective	
Needs Improvement	Ineffective	Needs Improvement
Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement	Ineffective
Ineffective	Needs Improvement	Ineffective
Ineffective	Needs Improvement	Needs Improvement
Needs Improvement	Ineffective	Ineffective

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

8.0 Improvement Plan

- 8.1 An Improvement Plan shall be developed for an administrator who receives an overall rating of “Needs Improvement” or “Ineffective” on the Summative Evaluation or a rating of Unsatisfactory on any Appraisal Component in 5.0 on the Summative Evaluation regardless of the overall rating.
 - 8.1.1 An Improvement Plan shall also be developed if an administrator’s overall performance during the Formative Process is unsatisfactory. This unsatisfactory performance shall be noted by the evaluator(s) on the Formative Feedback form by noting “PERFORMANCE IS UNSATISFACTORY” and initialing the statement.
- 8.2 The Improvement Plan shall contain the following:
 - 8.2.1 Identification of the specific deficiencies and recommended area(s) for growth;
 - 8.2.2 Measurable goals for improving the deficiencies to satisfactory levels;
 - 8.2.3 Specific professional development or activities to accomplish the goals;
 - 8.2.4 Specific resources necessary to implement the plan, including but not limited to, opportunities for the administrator to work with curriculum specialist(s) or others with relevant experience;
 - 8.2.5 Procedures and evidence that must be collected to determine that the goals of the plan were met;
 - 8.2.6 Timeline for the plan, including intermediate check points to determine progress;
 - 8.2.7 Procedures for determining satisfactory improvement.
- 8.3 The Improvement Plan shall be developed cooperatively by the administrator and evaluator. If the plan cannot be cooperatively developed, the evaluator shall have the authority and responsibility to determine the plan as specified in 8.2 above.
- 8.4 The administrator shall be held accountable for the implementation and completion of the Improvement Plan.
- 8.5 Upon completion of the Improvement Plan, the administrator and evaluator(s) shall sign the documentation that determines the satisfactory or unsatisfactory performance of the plan.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

9.0 Challenge Process

- 9.1 An administrator may challenge any rating on the Summative Evaluation, either a Component Rating or the Overall Rating, or an administrator may challenge the conclusions of the Formative Process if the statement “PERFORMANCE IS UNSATISFACTORY” has been included on the Formative Feedback form. To initiate a challenge, an administrator shall submit additional information specific to the point of disagreement in writing within fifteen (15) working days of the date of administrator’s receipt of the Summative Evaluation. Such written response shall become part of the appraisal record and shall be attached to the Summative Evaluation. All challenges together with the record shall be forwarded to the supervisor of the evaluator, if any.
 - 9.1.1 Within fifteen (15) working days of receiving the written challenge, the supervisor of the evaluator shall review the record which consists of all documents used in the appraisal and the written challenge, and issue a written decision.
 - 9.1.2 If the challenge is denied, the written decision shall state the reasons for denial.
 - 9.1.3 The decision of the supervisor of the evaluator shall be final.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

10.0 Evaluator(s) Credentials

- 10.1 Evaluators shall have completed the DPAS II training as developed by the Department of Education. Evaluators shall receive a certificate of completion which is valid for five (5) years and is renewable upon completion of professional development focused on DPAS II as specified by the Department of Education.
- 10.2 The training for the certificate of completion shall include techniques for observation and conferencing, content and relationships of ISLLC standards, and a thorough review of the *DPAS II Guide for*

Administrators. Activities in which participants practice implementation of DPAS II procedures shall be included in the training.

10.3 The credentialing process shall be conducted by the Department of Education.

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

11.0 Evaluation of Process

The Department of Education shall conduct an annual evaluation of the teacher appraisal process. The evaluation shall, at a minimum, include a survey of teachers and evaluators and interviews with a sampling of teachers and evaluators. Data from the evaluation and proposed changes to the DPAS II Guide for ~~Teachers~~ Administrators shall be presented to the State Board of Education for review on an annual basis.

8 DE Reg. 431 (9/1/04)

11 DE Reg. 510 (10/01/07)

12.0 Effective Date

This regulation shall be in effect until the effective date of 14 **DE Admin Code** 108a Administrator Appraisal Process Delaware Performance Appraisal System (DPAS II) Revised.

13 DE Reg. 738 (12/01/09) (Prop.)